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## [3] Centered Partition process

The Centered Partition process defines a probability distribution over the space of set partitions as

$$
p\left(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{c}_{0}, \psi\right) \propto p_{0}(\boldsymbol{c}) e^{-\psi d\left(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{c}_{0}\right)}
$$

- $p_{0}(\boldsymbol{c})$ indicates a baseline distribution (EPPF) on the set partitions space
- $d\left(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{c}_{0}\right)$ distance measuring how much a generic partition $\boldsymbol{c}$ is far form the base one $\boldsymbol{c}_{0}$ $\Rightarrow$ ideally a suitable metric on the set partitions lattice
- $\psi$ penalization parameter controlling for the centering $\psi=0 ; p\left(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{c}_{0}, \psi\right) \rightarrow p_{0}(\boldsymbol{c}) ; \psi \rightarrow \infty ; p\left(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{c}_{0}, \psi\right)=\delta_{\boldsymbol{c}_{0}}$ Consider sets of partitions with a fixed distance from $\boldsymbol{c}_{0}$

$$
s_{l}\left(\boldsymbol{c}_{0}\right)=\left\{\boldsymbol{c} \in \Pi_{n}: d\left(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{c}_{0}\right)=\delta_{l}\right\}, \quad l=0,1, \ldots, L
$$

- $L$ the maximum possible distance from $\boldsymbol{c}_{0}$
$\square \delta_{0}=0$, hence $s_{0}\left(\boldsymbol{c}_{0}\right)$ is set of partitions differing from $\boldsymbol{c}_{0}$ by a permutation of the cluster labels.
Analytic form for (1)
$p\left(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{c}_{0}, \psi\right)=p_{0}(\boldsymbol{c}) \frac{e^{-\psi s_{l}\left(\boldsymbol{c}_{0}\right)}}{\sum_{m=1}^{L} n_{m} e^{-\psi s_{m}\left(\boldsymbol{c}_{0}\right)}}, \quad$ for $\boldsymbol{c} \in s_{l}\left(\boldsymbol{c}_{0}\right)$
$n_{m}=\left|s_{m}\left(\boldsymbol{c}_{0}\right)\right|$ denotes the cardinality of the set $s_{m}\left(\boldsymbol{c}_{0}\right)$ typically not possible to be calculated analytically $\Rightarrow$ but can nonetheless be used in Bayesian models relying on Monte Carlo methods.


## [4] Logistic regression borrowing

Blocks sizes $\left\{\left|B_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|B_{K}\right|\right\}$
$\Rightarrow$ individuate an integer partition, a set of positive integers $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{K}\right\}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{K} \lambda_{i}=n$
■ Such space ( $\Pi_{n}, \leq$ ) endowed with a relation of set containment is a partially ordered set (poset) which allows to represent the space by means of the Hasse diagram

- The space $\Pi_{n}$ is also a lattice, with upper bound $\mathbf{1}=\{n\}$ and lower bound $\mathbf{0}=\{1\}\{2\} \ldots\{n\}$
A set partition $\boldsymbol{c}$ of an integer $[n]$ is a collection of non-empty disjoint subsets
- Number of partitions of $[n]$ into $k$ blocks $\Rightarrow$ Stirling numbers $S(n, k)$
- Total number of set partitions
$\Rightarrow$ Bell number $\mathcal{B}_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} S(n, k)$ $\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{K}\right\}$ such that $\mathrm{\cup}_{i}^{K} B_{i}=[n]$.


## Baseline EPPF

Come from different process depending on the assumed exchangeable behavior $\square$ Uniform $p_{0}=1 / \mathcal{B}_{n}$ - Dirichlet Process $p_{0} \propto \alpha^{|c|} \prod_{j=1}^{c \mid}\left(\left|B_{j}\right|-1\right)!$

- generic Gibbs-type priors


## Choosing the distance

We employed the Variation of information [3]

- Entropy-based metric $V I\left(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{c}^{\prime}\right)=-H(\boldsymbol{c})-H\left(\boldsymbol{c}^{\prime}\right)+2 H\left(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{c}^{\prime}\right)$
- Alignment properties
- Easy to compute (block dependent)


## Tuning parameter $\psi$

Depends on $n$ and where $\boldsymbol{c}_{0}$ is located in the space

- Exact values computed up to $n=8$
- For $n>8$ we consider prior calibration using a Monte Carlo estimate
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Hasse diagram for the lattice of set partitions of 4 elements. A line is drawn when a partition is covered by the other. For example $\{1\}\{2,3,4\}$ is connected with 3 partitions obtained by splitting the block $\{2,3,4\}$ in any possible way.

$\{1\}\{2,3,4\}=\{2\}\{1,3,4\}=\{3\}\{1,2,4\}-\{4\}\{1,2,3\}-\{1,2\}\{3,4\}$ $\rightarrow$ < $\left.\{1\}\{2\}\{3,4\}=\{1\}\{3\}\{2,4\}-{ }_{\{1\}\{4\}\{2,3\}}>\{2\}\{3\}\{1,4\} \quad\{2\}\{4\}\{1,3\}=13\right\}\{4\}\{1,2\}$ $\{1\}\{2\}\{3\}\{4\}$

| [5] Grouping diseases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data comprises $n=26$ different diseases, with classification providing 6 groups with sizes $\{8,7,4,4,2,1\}$ <br> - Around 80 exposures, comprising demographics, drugs, habits <br> ■ Considered different values for $\psi \in\{300,700,1110\}$ (note that $\mathcal{B}_{26}=O\left(10^{19}\right)$ ) |  | Drug_15 | 0.74 |  | (ty_115 | 0.74 | Prug-15 |  | 0.450.71 |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {Drug_ }} 14$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {Drug_1 }}{ }_{\text {drug }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Drug_11 |  |  | (ers |  | ${ }^{\text {Dugu-11 }}$ |  |  |
|  |  | Drug_10 Drug |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Drue 8 | 1.26 |  |  |  | 1.37 | $\text { Drug } 8$ | 1.271 .31 |
|  | 123456 | Drug 7 Drug 6 |  |  | Drua 7 Drua 6 | $\begin{array}{ll}1.23 & 1.9 \\ 1.62\end{array}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Group 101004712 | Drug 5 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {Drug_ } 5}$ |  |  | Drug 53.7 |  |
| $\psi_{1}$ | Group 310840013 | ${ }_{\text {Drug_ }}{ }^{\text {drug }}$ | 2.17 |  |  |  | 2.72 |  |  |  |
|  | Group 51000001 | Drug 2 | 1.52 |  | Drug 3 |  | 1.54 | Drug 2 | - |
|  |  | Drug1 |  |  | High lood pressure $\begin{aligned} & \text { Diabeet tuee }\end{aligned}$ | 1.26 |  | High blood pressure ${ }^{\text {Pata }}$ | 1.51 |
| $\psi_{2}$ | Group 300800008 | Hight blood pessure | $\begin{array}{lll}2.81 & 3.09 \\ 581 \\ 505\end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{l\|l} 2.42 & 6.13 \end{array}$ |  |  | Diabete type 2Diabeete type 1 $\quad$4.75 <br> 7.145 .86 <br> 5 |  |
|  | Group 30080008 | Diabetet ype 1 | $\begin{array}{ll}5.81 & 5.57 \\ 1.33 & 0.51\end{array}$ |  | Diabete type 2 Diabete type 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Group 92000002 | Gender female |  |  | Gender_(temele | ${ }^{4.97}$ | ${ }_{1}^{11.19}$ | Gender_female | $\begin{array}{r} 7.145 .865 .05 \\ 4.33 \\ 0.63 \\ 0.57 \\ 0.777 \\ 1.27 \end{array}$ |
|  | Group 100100405 | вмL_obese |  |  | BML_obese |  |  | вMI_obese | - |
|  | Group 101000001 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BMI_overweight } \\ & \text { BMI_normal } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BMI_Overeight } \\ \text { BMI_noimal } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Group 3200000 | BM_underweight |  |  | BMI_underewigit |  |  | BMI_undemeight |  |
| $\psi_{3}$ | Group 60080008 | Location_5 Location 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Group 90004408 | Location_3 |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Location_3 }}$ Leoction_2 |  |  | Location_2 | 0.37 |
|  | Group 100000077 | Location_1 |  |  | Location_2 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $00^{100^{1}} 00^{100^{2}} 00^{100^{20}}$ |  |  | $100^{100^{1}} 10^{100^{2}} 00^{100^{3}} 00^{100^{4}}$ |  |  |  |
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