BAYESIAN MODELING OF NETWORKS IN COMPLEX BUSINESS INTELLIGENCE PROBLEMS

Daniele Durante* Sally Paganin^{*}

Bruno Scarpa^{*} David B. Dunson[†]

sallypaganin@gmail.com

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PADOVA

University of Padua^{*} Italy. Duke University[†], Durham, USA.

[1] Introduction

- **Marketing problem:** define effective cross-selling campaigns on the basis of customers behavior.
- **Motivation:** targeting the existing customer base with effective strategies leads to the growth of the company.

Data

An insurance company administrates n = 130 agencies selling the same V = 15 products. For each agency *i* is available: **Number of mono-product customers** n_{iv} subscribed to each product $v = 1, \ldots, V$.

• Co-subscription network A_i among products, relative to multi-product customers.

Agency 6 : Mono – product portfolio

1250-

Agency 6 : Co – subscription network

[3] Joint model for mixed domain data

Cluster dependent probabilistic representation

Number of mono-product customers \Rightarrow discrete distribution

 $(n_{i1}, \ldots, n_{iV}) \sim \mathsf{Multinom}\{n_i; p_{k1}, \ldots, p_{kV}\}$

Co-subscription networks \Rightarrow mixture of latent eigenmodels Introduce an index $G_i \in \{1, \ldots, H\}$ for the mixture component.

• Edges between pairs of products l = (u, v) are realizations from

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_i)_l | \pi_{il} \overset{\text{indep}}{\sim} \text{Bern}(\pi_{il}), \quad l = 1, \dots, V(V-1)/2$

- Probability of connection changes across the mixture components $|\boldsymbol{\pi}_i|G_i = h, \boldsymbol{\pi}^{(h)} = \boldsymbol{\pi}^{(h)}$
- Mixing probabilities depends on the cluster allocation



Graphical model



Aim: Define cross-selling campaigns for mono-product customers exploiting co-subscription networks.

[2] Cross-sell strategy

A **strategy** is the best product *u* to offer to a mono-product customer with a product $v \neq u$.

Shared strategies among similar agencies are better!

- Agencies in the same company may exhibit clusters.
- Using the same strategy reduces administrative overhead. \Rightarrow We associate agencies to a vector of cluster assignments $\boldsymbol{C} = (C_1, \ldots, C_n)$
- with $C_i \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$ the cluster membership of agency *i*.

 $\Pr(G_i = h | C_i = k) = \nu_{hk}$ $h = 1, \dots, H, k = 1, \dots, K$

allowing sharing of mixture components across the clusters.

Component-specific edge probability vector \Rightarrow characterized via matrix factorization representation

 $\boldsymbol{\pi}^{(h)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \exp\{-\boldsymbol{Z} - \boldsymbol{D}\} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \quad \boldsymbol{D} = \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{X}^{(h)} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{(h)} \boldsymbol{X}^{(h)T})$

 $\blacksquare Z$ common similarity vector shared among all the co-subscription networks \Rightarrow centers the mixture components

D component-specific vector which accounts for pairwise similarity among products in a latent space ($R \ll V$)

• $X^{(h)}$ products' latent coordinates \Rightarrow Products with coordinates in the same direction are more likely to be co-subscribed than products with coordinates in the opposite direction.

• $\Lambda^{(h)} = \text{diag}(\lambda^{(h)})$ weights the similarity in each dimension r by a non-negative parameter $\lambda_r^{(h)}$.

Output from the model

Example of output from our model for decision making in marketing when there are n = 8 agencies and K = 3 clusters.



Interpretation

• Agencies 1, 4 and 5 share common profiles of mono-product customer choices and cosubscription networks $(C_1 = C_4 = C_5 = 1)$.

Co-subscription behavior is summarized by the expectation of the network-valued variable associated to cluster 1; results in [2] show that, under the hiererchical representation, it corresponds to

$$\mathsf{E}\{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}_1)\} = \bar{\boldsymbol{\pi}}_1 = \sum_{h=1}^H \nu_{h1} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{(h)}$$

which coincides with the vector of co-subscription



Same cluster \Rightarrow same strategies **Cluster-specific cross-selling**

The best offer to a mono-product customer with product v in agencies belonging to cluster k is defined as

 $u_{kv} = \operatorname{argmax}_{u} \{ \Pr(\mathcal{A}_{k[vu]} = 1) : u \neq v \}$

• $\mathcal{A}_{k[vu]}$: random variable measuring presence or absence of a co-subscription among products v and u in cluster k. **Evaluate the strategies** using a performance indicator

 $e_{kv} = p_{kv} \max\{\Pr(\mathcal{A}_{k[vu]} = 1) : u \neq v\}$

• p_{kv} : probability that a mono-product customer is subscribed to v in agencies belonging to cluster k.

Strategies with high e_{kv} are likely to work better!

probabilities for pairs of products in cluster 1. $\Rightarrow \bar{\pi}_1$ defines the set of cross-selling strategies. **Mono-product customer choices** in cluster 1 are characterized by the vector p_1 . $\Rightarrow p_1$ provides **additional information** to obtain the **performance indicators** for agencies in cluster 1.

The **performance indicator** is estimated from the model as $\hat{e}_{kv} = \hat{p}_{kv} \max\{\Pr(\mathcal{A}_{k[vu]} = 1) : u \neq v\}$ for each product vand cluster k, with $\{\hat{\Pr}(\mathcal{A}_{k[vu]} = 1) : u \neq v\}$ available from $\hat{\pi}_{kl}$, where l denotes the pair of products (v, u).

⇒ The conditional distribution of the co-subscription network varies as a function of a latent clustering variable, which is determined **endogenously** by mono-product customer choices and co-subscription patterns shared across subset of agencies.



• Cluster-specific mixing probability vector $\Rightarrow \nu_k \sim \text{Dirichlet}(1/H, \dots, 1/H)$ • Shrinkage prior [1] on latent weights $\Rightarrow \lambda^{(h)} = (\lambda_1^{(h)}, \dots, \lambda_R^{(h)}) \sim MIG(a_1, a_2)$

Posterior distributions are obtained via **Gibbs sampling**, with key steps

- Agencies are allocated to clusters via a sequential re-seating procedure [3] \Rightarrow cluster assignment vector estimated as MAP.
- Updating of the parameters associated to the co-subscription networks follow steps in [2] which exploits **Pólya-Gamma data augmentation for Bayesian** logistic regression.

product, as the best offer.

References

[1] Bhattacharya, A. and Dunson, D. B. (2011) Sparse bayesian infinite factor models. *Biometrika*, **98**, 291–306.

[2] Durante, D., Dunson, D. B. and Vogelstein, J. T. (2015) Nonparametric Bayes modeling of populations of networks. ArXiv:1406.7851 e-prints.

[3] Neal, R. M. (2000) Markov chain sampling methods for dirichlet process mixture models. Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics, 9, 249–265.

Participation in this conference was supported by **Google** "Google-ISBA junior travel support grant"